Α.

24

25

submitted it to the EEOC?

Yes.

revenue. So FedEx transitioned to a new pricing program called ePRS, which caused many issues where management -- both Michelle, Dave Russell, and several other people -- were knowledgeable of the issue because it wasn't just BJ Services who had the issue.

But to focus on BJ Services, the pricing did not load correctly. This was escalated on several occasions prior to the customer shipping so that it could get corrected. But, unfortunately, the pricing team did not have the tools and resources to correct it prior to the customer shipping. So when they shipped, the pricing and discounts that they were supposed to receive were incorrect which caused them to have inflated revenue which then, in return, the next year inflated the goal that was impacted by the district aligned to that particular customer, BJ Services.

Q. Okay. So looking at the first sentence of paragraph 2, you say, On or about July 11, 2018, I first witnessed the difference in the way management treated black employees and white employees.

Did I read that right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. So I'm trying to understand -- what do you

1.8

- A. Correct. It came from Michelle Lamb.
- Q. Okay. Can you explain to me briefly how the report compared you to other DSMs?
- A. The snippet or screenshot of the report that she sent in her email showed false data that my district didn't have adequate FedEx One Rate and Global Gold Rush opportunities in the pipeline when the truth is that the details she sent in the report when I went to Salesforce to verify the reports of my white peers were details from 2014, 2016, and 2017 when none of us were in the role.

So the accuracy of the report was incorrect, which I highlighted in my response to her because, yet again, this was another example of her falsely accusing me of poor performance. And the accurate details of the report confirmed by Salesforce shows that those opportunities in the report that she used were not correct.

There was no acknowledgment or apology of her falsely accusing me of, you know, the incorrect opportunities. I then provided her with correct opportunities from my team since the report that she showed was inaccurate. But yet there was never any acknowledgment of the mistake of her accusation, but yet more praise of my white peers that, oh, they're

against me in her allegations to make it appear that I was a poor performer, so it took time. It wasn't that she, oh, magically had, you know, a report that she could pull because at the time, there was no details to support her allegations. So she had to create those type of reports and generate those details and then issue the letter of counseling.

- Q. All right. Paragraph 5, in September of 2018, Ms. Lamb approved you to attend the Pathways event in Memphis; is that right?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Paragraph 6, what company goals does attending Pathway help you achieve?
- A. It helps me continue to develop my leadership skills as well as develop people who aspire to be leaders in the company. That's always been a part of the FedEx culture. I was a part of lead-up, but they transitioned the program to Pathway, which is a direct connection of people who aspire to be leaders.
- Q. Okay. And you were told by Ms. Lamb in January of 2019 that you could no longer attend the Pathways event; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And then you eventually attended the

Pathways event by taking vacation time and going to Memphis yourself, correct?

- A. Correct. And my white peers were also allowed to go to Dallas to the same event and --
 - Q. We'll get to that.
 - A. Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. It's in here. Trying to go paragraph by paragraph, ma'am.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Okay?

And you were told by Ms. Lamb that FedEx was attempting to control costs in January of 2019, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And that was the reason she told you you couldn't go to the Memphis Pathways event, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Am I right, ma'am, this is not the first time in your FedEx career that you observed FedEx going into cost control mode? Is that fair?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you agree, ma'am, that it's not the managing director such as Ms. Lamb's decision on whether or not FedEx is going to attempt to control costs at any given time?

anybody of the other panelists?

A. I do not.

- Q. And ultimately, this Pathway event in Memphis, you were permitted to go as long as FedEx didn't have to pay for your airfare and your hotel and your incidentals, correct?
 - A. And required me to take vacation.
- Q. Okay. And you were eventually reimbursed for those two vacation days, correct?
- A. Only for the vacation, not for the hotel or the rental car.
 - Q. Correct.

My question was: You were eventually reimbursed for the two vacation days, correct?

A. After I continued to complain about the issue because originally FedEx declined to give me the vacation days back. They said that it wasn't required and that Michelle stated that she didn't know I was using vacation to attend the Pathway event when in my vacation request, it specifically says, Memphis visit.

And during our conversation, I told her that I was going to use vacation so that I could still attend the Pathways event because I was committed to be able to help and develop the Pathway

1 candidates.

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. I'm not trying to be controversial,

Sitting here today, you have been paid for the two days of vacation, correct?

MR. SANFORD: Objection; form.

- A. After several complaints, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you attended the Pathways event, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So you're able -- in your mind, were you able to advance the company goals and further develop your skills by attending this event?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Paragraph 7, you use the word "pretext." What does "pretext" mean?
 - A. Where are you?
- Q. Second line. It says, Initially relieved at the revived opportunity, I later learned that Ms. Lamb's previous excuses for barring my attendance at Pathway were merely pretext.
 - A. Yeah, it was a coverup.
- Q. Okay. Did you ask to attend the Dallas Pathway event?
 - A. No, but I was neither given the invitation

were able to work together yet had separate meetings. But yet I was singled out and had to do things exactly how Michelle Lamb wanted it done.

Q. Oh, I see.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So is it your testimony, ma'am, that Michelle Lamb micromanaged how you would roll out Coach2Grow with your team?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And she didn't micromanage some of your white peers on their rollout to Coach2Grow with their teams?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Can you describe for me how

 Ms. Lamb's response was aggressive or condescending?

 What specifically do you take issue with
 with her response?
- A. It was the tone and words used in her email and in her communication to me. She responded that she yet again had to spend 3 hours with me on the demonstration of Coach2Grow 2.0 when coaching and development is part of her job.

If she didn't feel like I did it the right way and I'm trying to be resourceful to leverage my peers, but even if that's not the approach she wanted to take, instead she didn't have to be

aggressive with her response.

Coaching and development is a part of what we did as a leader. And if someone didn't get it the first time, it's not that they, you know, aren't a good person and can't absorb the details. So having an aggressive attitude with a response that she yet again had to spend more time with me was very offensive.

- Q. Okay. And Ms. Lamb told you in your March 7, 2019, meeting that you were not a, quote, strong coach; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And you disagree with that, right?
 - A. Yes, I disagree.
- Q. Okay. What would you expect of a team being led by a strong coach? What would that team exhibit, what kind of qualities?
- A. They would be able to develop, be able to demonstrate, be able to collaborate, be able to identify strengths and weaknesses of the individual that they were coaching so that they could create a strategy and leverage their experience and knowledge to then, in return, demonstrate best practices on how they could move forward and improve.
 - Q. Okay. Would a team being led by a strong

coach, would that team be able to meet their objectives and metrics that FedEx expects of a sales team to meet?

A. Yes.

1

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. In paragraph 9, you say, Given the severity of the Coach2Grow 2.0 incident.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Were there -- was there anything else that occurred in your actions with Michelle Lamb about Coach2Grow in the spring of 2019 that occurred that you haven't already testified to here this morning?
 - A. Not about Coach2Grow.
- Q. Okay. And Coach2Grow, the -- the meeting took place on March 7, 2019, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So it was after that incident that you had your first conversation with Jim Wallace about your concerns involving Michelle Lamb. Fair?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Was that the first time you had ever spoken or dealt with Mr. Wallace?
 - A. In a complaint form, yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you deal with him as your -- as the HR representative or adviser that was matrixed

1 A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. How did you reach out to Mr. Chonoles?
- A. Via email.
 - Q. Was it the same email you sent to Mr. Clark?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So you sent an email to the two of them.
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Fair?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. All right. Paragraph 15. Am I correct, ma'am, that the letter of counseling is the initial basis of your retaliation complaint?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you form a belief at the time you received the letter of counseling that your race had something to do with receiving the letter of counseling?
- A. Yes. It was the only determining factor Michelle Lamb used in evaluating my performance compared to my white peers.
- Q. So is your contention, ma'am, that had you been white or another race or a race that isn't black, you would not have received a letter of

r counsering:	L	counseling?	,
-----------------	---	-------------	---

2.0

- A. Right. Because in her evaluation, I would have been the same if not better than my white peers.
- Q. Okay. You mention in paragraph 15, My recent complaints regarding Mrs. Lamb -- Ms. Lamb.

Have we discussed all those complaints, again, up until the time of receipt of the letter of counseling so far today?

- A. The negative impact of BJ Services, 4G Dental, the issue with Coach2Grow, her aggressiveness of approaching me in email and one-on-one situations, up to that point, yes.
- Q. Okay. You mention in paragraph 15 that the documented discussion process is missing, right?
- A. That is correct. There was no documents. In the 11 years that I had worked for FedEx, I had never received any discipline and there was no documented discussion between myself and Michelle Lamb of any issues with my performance until after my complaint.
- Q. Can you describe for me as a former manager of FedEx what the documented discussion process is to your understanding?

A. Sure, because I have used them. It is how we are trained by human resources to identify an area of opportunity, whether it's performance or conduct, so that we could then develop a strategy to try to develop the employee and then give them details that if their performance conduct didn't improve, that it could proceed to a letter of counseling.

So the documented discussion is a part of the policy that outlines a manager identifying an area of opportunity to an employee, +and it was skipped during my process.

- Q. Okay. But you would agree, ma'am, that a manager could describe an area of opportunity to an employee during a one-on-one, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And -- or a manager could highlight an area of opportunity to his or her employee through the use of an email, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. In other words, it's your understanding, isn't it, ma'am, that you don't have to have a document that has the phrase "documented discussion" at the top in a formal process or formal form, correct?

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 Jennifer Garcia.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. All right. Okay. And then after you found out because you went prospecting --
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. -- with Abraham -- I forgot his last name.
 - A. Velasco.
 - O. Velasco. Mr. Velasco.

And that's when you first learned from 4G, hey, we just saw Ms. Garcia and Ms. Lamb?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. All right. So then you raised the issue with Ms. Lamb that, hey, 4G should, because it's in Spring, Texas, should be in my geographic area, correct?
- A. Correct. And just like she adjusted the negative revenue of BJ Services, she should have positively adjusted the 4G Dental to my district since it was improperly aligned.
 - Q. Got it. Thank you.

Did you ever have in your management career a similar situation where a customer wasn't properly aligned at the beginning when the customer was onboarded and you raised an issue with someone in management that the customer should be realigned?

A. I have never had an issue where I have

instead of continuing to allow my white peer Brian Conrey receive the positive revenue credit and commission for that account, which also helped her with her false accusation of poor performance.

- Q. Okay. Thank you.

 Paragraph 19?
- A. Yes.

- Q. What facts or actions by Ms. Lamb can you point me to to support your contention that Ms. Lamb wanted to terminate your employment at the time she put you on the performance improvement plan in September of 2019?
- A. The negative impact of BJ Services, which was a 1-plus million dollar adjustment, potentially every quarter on that particular customer; in addition to not properly aligning the 4G Dental account, which shows and demonstrates \$80,313.54 of positive revenue that should have been properly aligned to -- to me and my district; in addition to her aggressive response to the details in her Coach2Grow 2.0; and all of the other details that I shared.

So all of those things line up with the response that I sent for retaliation and discrimination from Michelle Lamb.

drafted?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

A. Because she used different details. Just as the example of my white peer Richard Holley being given zero of six quarters and, in my letter of counseling, I was given zero of four quarters, it's different and inconsistent with my white peer.

So the details outlined in the performance improvement plan actually allowed her to inflate her expectation of improvement and falsely accuse me of not doing well when, compared to my white peers, I was doing better if not the same as them.

- Q. Wasn't this September 2019 PIP your second PIP?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And wasn't the second PIP based on some of the issues that were being addressed in your first PIP?
- A. But they also aligned to her falsely inflating the numbers of BJ Services and 4G Dental, which should have positively impacted me. So she continued to add on those details and retaliate against me due to my complaint to HR to her -- on her, excuse me, for the letter of warning now with the second PIP.

MR. BABCOCK: I'm just going to move

1 to strike as nonresponsive.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Ma'am, my question was: Wasn't the second PIP in September 2019 based on some of the contents of your first PIP?
 - A. Some of the contents, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you claim in here -- it's on the top of the next page -- you were only provided one quarter to show improvement, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And a quarter at FedEx is 90 days?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so who are the similarly situated or worse performing white employees that you're referencing in this paragraph?
- A. Brian Hickman, Jaime Golden-McElroy, Richard Holley, and Brian Golden.
- Q. And that's the same discussion we had before the first break where you talked about their attainment goals?
 - A. And their calls on opportunities --
 - Q. Right.
- A. -- and their joint calls, all of those details compiled, or details she used to falsely accuse me of poor performance and not consistently use those same factors to evaluate my white peers.

- 1 Ο. I know. Let's move to 22. Okay. 2 Α. It says in here that the recent PIP --3 0. that would be the September 2019 PIP, correct? 4 5 Α. Yes. And you say, quote, which falsely accuses 6 Ο. me of managing the district with the lowest pricing 7 8 activity in the region, closed quote. 9 Did I read that phrase right? That is correct. 10 Α. 11 Ο. 12
 - And you're saying that that's blatantly false, right?
 - That is correct. Α.
 - Okay. Were -- was there false information Ο. in your first PIP as well, ma'am?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And do you recall what that false Ο. information was?
 - It was the negative adjustment from BJ Services that was falsely aliqued to me and should have been aligned to my white peer Brian Conrey and the adjustment of 4G Dental, which should have been positively aligned to me and was not.
 - Okay. Was there anything correct or justifiable in your mind, ma'am, in your first PIP?

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

- 1
- A. No.
- 2
- Q. Okay. Was there anything justified or correct or true in your second PIP?
- 4

- A. No.
- 5

6

Q. Okay. In paragraph 22, you highlight that you were number 4 in the region for daily activations.

7

Do you see that?

9

A. That is correct.

10

Q. Do you recall, sitting here today, what

11

you ranked in all the other metrics that FedEx

12

tracks?

team.

Α.

A. I recall that I was number one in joint

14

call activity, but I don't recall which place I was in calls on opportunities, but I know I was in the

15 16

top half, so in the top four of managers on her

17

Q. Besides daily -- average daily

19

opportunities, those three categories, what other

Close business tracking.

activations, joint call activities, call on

21

metrics did FedEx measure?

22 23

Q. Any other metrics?

24

A. Pricing.

25

Q. Any other metrics?

1 A. Yes.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

- Q. What race is Mr. Holley?
- A. White.
 - O. And he's a man?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Okay. When you were a manager at FedEx, did you have training?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And did you -- you're aware that FedEx has policies, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Like an EEO policy? Are you aware of those policies?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. That FedEx has a progressive corrective action policy, are you aware of that policy?
- 18 A. Yes. I used it myself.
- Q. What about that FedEx has an acceptable conduct policy, are you aware of that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware that FedEx has an equal employment opportunity policy?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware that FedEx has an

- 1 antiharassment policy?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Are you aware that FedEx has a policy that describes the EXPLORE process?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you went through the EXPLORE process, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Twice, right, once for the warning letter and once for your termination?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if I remember correctly in my review of the documents, you didn't attend any meetings, correct?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Because you were represented by counsel at that point, right?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. Is it your understanding that your counsel communicated with FedEx while you were in the EXPLORE processes?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that FedEx has a code of conduct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And you had periodic training on the code of conduct, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

- Q. And periodic, I believe -- well, I'll ask you: Was the training annual training, recurring training about the code of conduct?
- A. Code of conduct, I don't recall at this time if it was annual or periodic.
- Q. But you -- it was definitely periodic, though, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. You're aware that FedEx has an Alert Line, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you ever call the Alert Line?
- 16 A. No.
 - Q. And you're aware through your training at FedEx as a manager, an employee can complain to an HR professional if they choose to, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. They can complain to another member of management?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. They can complain to FedEx security?
- 25 A. Yes.

- They can call the Alert Line? 1 Ο. 2
 - Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

(Exhibit 3 marked.)

- 0. Okay. Hand you what has been marked as Exhibit --
 - MR. BABCOCK: Do you have a pen?
 - A what? A pen? I do. MR. SANFORD:
 - MR. BABCOCK: Okay.
 - -- Exhibit 3, which is an exempt job Ο. description for a sales manager. It's Bates FXS1780 through 1782.

Have you ever seen this -- did you ever see this document, ma'am? And let me tell you, if you thumb through it, it's -- the first page is -let's start -- let's go page by page. All right?

- Α. Okay.
- Let me start over. Ο.

So I'm looking at the first page of Exhibit 3, which is FSX1780. This is a manager's of sales with a run date of January of 2015.

- Do you see that?
- Α. Yes.
 - Do you see under General Summary? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
 - If you could read that to yourself and let Q.

me know when you're done.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A. (Reviewed document.) Okay.
- Q. I'm going to ask you: Do you think that describes what you believe your job duties were as a manager in sales?
 - A. Some of them yes.
- Q. And that goes on to talk about the essential duties, correct?
 - A. Some of the duties, yes.
- Q. Okay. It lists three, correct, essential duties?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And do you agree with each of those three -- do you agree those are essential duties of a manager at sales -- as part of the sales organization? Excuse me.
 - A. Some of them, yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you think there's more essential duties?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What additional -- if you were drafting the policy, what additional essential duties or responsibilities would you add?
- A. There -- it's missing holding, you know, the account executive responsible to obeying other

2.0

So the history of my performance demonstrates that I was very successful in -- not only as an individual contributor role but as a manager because my first year as a district sales manager in field sales, I was awarded President's Club as well.

- Q. Would you agree, ma'am, in your history of being a sales professional at FedEx, that probably the most important job or the -- or the reason FedEx has a sales team is to go out and find revenue for the FedEx operating companies?
- A. That is one of them but maintaining revenue is also as important.
- Q. Okay. Because without customers shipping packages, there's no -- they wouldn't be able to pay the employees, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. What criteria do you believe indicates a successful manager?
- A. I think the consistency of territory management, performance with maintaining, growing, and finding new revenue, all of those components aligned with each other, and it's an ongoing evaluation. It just doesn't stop with those steps. You have to go back to see if the existing strategy

that you're utilizing is working or if there's
opportunity for change. So those are just some of
the characteristics of a good sales professional.
Q. All right. Thank you.

Looking at paragraph 42, which is on page 6. Again, some of this is another way to see if it jogs your memory of anything else.

You write, Many other persons have complained of discrimination or retaliation at FedEx.

You testified before about Richard Holley, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

- Q. Is there anyone else that you're aware of that complained of discrimination? We'll start there.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who?
 - A. Blanche Bond-Hudson.
- Q. Who is Blanche -- and what's her last name?
 - A. Bond-Hudson.
 - Q. Can you attempt to spell that?
- 24 A. B-o-n-d, H-u-d-s-o-n.
- Q. And who is -- is Ms. Hudson a female?

Q. Okay. On paragraph 74, that's when Ms. Lamb asked Ms. Harris to take a demotion on March 8, 2019.

Did I read that right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. And this was the conversation -- or part of the conversation you had with Ms. Lamb where you discussed the Coach2Grow 2.0 rollout, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And it was that conversation that caused you to believe Ms. Lamb was discriminating against you, correct?
- A. Yes. She wanted to try to bully me out of my position, which I had earned.
- Q. In other words, up until that time period, things were going fine at FedEx from your point of view?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I had just won President's Club, and which she was awarded because she is given President's Club based on her managers' performance.
- Q. Okay. Paragraph 75 says you reported the discrimination to FedEx human resources department

1	on	March	11,	2019

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did I read that right?
- A. I sent the email to Dave Russell and Dan Mullally.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And the policy says as long as you escalate to management and you specifically state those details, it is supposed to be given to HR.
 - Q. Have you ever heard the -- strike that.

 When you were at FedEx, did you know about the EthicsPoint process or management system?
 - A. Tam not familiar.

(Exhibit 5 marked.)

- Q. Okay. Hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 5. That's the EthicsPoint for the 3/11/2019 complaint -- actually, can you hand that back to me, Miss?
 - A. (Witness complies.)
 - Q. Thanks.

It's Bates FXE414 through 417.

MR. SANFORD: Last page is not.

MR. BABCOCK: I'm not sure how another page got stapled to it, but it's no longer stapled.

Veritext Legal Solutions

	MR.	SANFORD:	Do	you	need	it	back?	Ιt
says "privilege	ed."							

- MR. BABCOCK: I've already produced it to you.
- Q. If you go to page 2, it says, Provide a brief description of the general nature of this matter. Please limit it to one or two sentences.

And it says, quote, DSM Jennifer Harris had alleged her director, Michelle Lamb, has shown discrimination towards her, creating an uncomfortable place to work. She was recommended to find other opportunities that are not leadership roles within FedEx.

Did I read that right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And now your email, which we'll get to, to Mr. Mullally and Mr. Russell obviously was more than two sentences, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. But the two sentences we just looked at in Exhibit 5, do you believe that to reference the meeting that you had in March with Michelle Lamb where she suggested you find a different role at FedEx?
 - A. Part of it, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1		Q.	Okay.	Were y	ou awar	e befor	e right n	OW
2	that	the	complai	nts you	raised	to Mr.	Russell	and
3	Mr.	Mull	ally we	re inpu	tted in	to this	software	
4	progi	cam?						
5		Α.	No.					
6		Q.	Okay.	Paragra	aph 78,	this i	s the	

Q. Okay. Paragraph 78, this is the

August 23, 2019, incident of -- I'll just read it,

quote, On August 23, 2019, Ms. Harris reported

discrimination and retaliation again by Ms. Lamb for

not assigning a customer in Ms. Harris's district to

Ms. Harris, closed quote.

Did I read that right?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. This is the 4G --
- A. That is correct.
- O. -- Dental?
- 17 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Paragraph 92 and 93. This is on page 11. This talks about your quota being set too high because a customer's moved out of your district to another district.
- A. That is correct. That reference is BJ Services.
- Q. Okay. And by "quota," you mean revenue attainment?

- 1
- A. That is correct.

0.

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

contention that if you back out -- or if you rectify BJ Services by not inflating your revenue attainment for that number and then you got credit for 4G Dental, your performance gap to revenue goal wouldn't exist, right?

Okay. So, Ms. Harris, it's your

- A. It would based on the data because I was not given all of the quarters of BJ Services. Based on the calculation, I would be higher and the same with some of my white peers on the team.
 - Q. Would you still be missing your goal?
- A. But not the same as what was identified on that letter of counseling.
 - Q. Appreciate that.
 - Would you still be missing your goal?
- A. Yes, but not the same as listed on the letter of counseling.
- Q. Okay. Paragraph 95 you write -- you allege, excuse me, quote, Ms. Harris's white supervisor, Ms. Lamb, belittled Ms. Harris to Ms. Harris's peers, closed quote.
 - Did I read that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I don't think we have discussed this yet

L	with	Ms.	Lamb	where	she	belittled	you?
---	------	-----	------	-------	-----	-----------	------

- A. When she sent the email which falsely accused me of those FedEx One Rate and Global Gold Rush, we looked at -- or talked about -- apologize --
 - Q. Uh-huh.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. -- those details as well. It was --
- Q. Okay.
- A. She compared me to my white peers and that I was a poor performer, and everything was a beat down, but in the research in confirming the details that she sent in the report, they were inaccurate.

So instead of acknowledging that there was a mistake on her part or whoever's part in producing that false information, it's that she wants to continue to try to belittle me and encourage me to step down from the position or leave FedEx so that she could continue to discriminate and retaliate against me.

- Q. Okay. Did Ms. Lamb ever use a racial slur towards you?
 - A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of Ms. Lamb ever referring to you in a racially derogatory way to another person at FedEx?

- 1
- Not to my knowledge, no. Α.
- 2
- Okav. Paragraph 97 of the complaint, it's Ο. on page 11 of the amended complaint.
- 3
- Α. Yes.
- 5
- Is -- the HR adviser, is that Jim Wallace? Ο.
- 6
- Α. Yes.
- 7
- Besides the conversation involving Ο. Okav.
- 8
- conversation you had with her about the FedEx One 9
- Rate and the Global Rush, was anything said to you 10

the Coach2Grow in March 1 and the additional

- 11
- either outside of work or while you were at work by
- 12
- slanderous? 13
- 14
 - Α. Yes.
- 15
- Okay. What conversation -- where -- where 0. were you when Ms. Lamb said these things to you?

response to me asking her about the adjustment of

acknowledging that she went through a loophole to

would make my white peer have a higher commission,

negatively adjust that account to me so that it

Ms. Lamb that you considered offensive or demeaning

- 16
- 17 The first instance was an email and her Α.
- 18
- 19 BJ Services. Instead of responding and

she denied it.

- 2.0
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- And once the sales compensation representative, Vicky Peterson, forwarded us the

- this May 21, 2018, meeting that you wanted to do all three of those activities under your joint rides with your AEs?
 - A. I don't recall that, but I also held one-on-ones on my calendar as well.
- Q. Do you recall that members on your team, some of your account executives complaining that your coaching of them took place at the end of the day and spilled over into the evening?
 - A. Yes, one.
 - O. And who was the one?
 - A. Lynne Hennessey.
- Q. Okay. So you remember only one AE complaining about that. Is that fair?
 - A. Yes, one of the eight.
 - Q. And then the second page of this Exhibit 6 is the calendar invite for this meeting, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. And would Ms. Lamb often tell you some of the topics you-all were to discuss during your one-on-ones?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Did you find that helpful?
- A. Not all the time because during the
 meetings, we did not always follow the agenda of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. I volunteered for that to be done because in October of 2017, we already identified that there was an issue with the pricing of BJ Services and if it was correctly implemented, that we wouldn't have had any inflated or rerating done to that particular

6 customer.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it was well enough time for the pricing to be corrected so that it would prevent the issue. It wasn't that it was a one-time communication. There were several people on the email to bring awareness of the issue because of the ePRS system not aligning discounts properly.

- Q. Is it your contention, ma'am, that
 Ms. Lamb instructed or made sure the pricing
 department didn't correct the BJ Services in a
 timely fashion?
 - A. She didn't escalate it either.
- Q. That wasn't my question. Is it your contention, ma'am, that Michelle Lamb somehow influenced the pricing people to not fix the BJ Services issues in a timely fashion?
 - A. She didn't help it either, so no.
- Q. Okay. If FedEx -- in the situation where they don't request the revenue to be repaid, does that impact the stretch goal on that account for the

1 | following year?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. It does.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall the amount of the overpayment to you for your commission on the BJ Services --
 - A. I do not.
 - Q. -- matter?

Was it around \$300?

A. I have no idea. I don't even know the exact number of the difference between the discounts and what they should have received. All I do know is that during the evaluation of President's Club, they were audited so that they could look to see if we even qualified for President's Club by removing the inflation of BJ Services.

And even once that was corrected and the inflation was removed, myself and Jennifer Garcia still excelled in performance and was awarded President's Club.

(Exhibit 10 marked.)

Q. Hand you what has been marked Exhibit 10, which is an email chain from January 2018 involving BJ Services. It's ESI 0040773 and 774.

All right. And in January of 2018, your boss, Ms. Lamb, asked you to provide her or share

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

a ZIP code is moved, an account manager is moved,
customers are moved, the goal is transitioned with
it. If you reviewed the details of the email from
Vicky Peterson, if that was a part of the process,
there would not have been a loophole requested for
Vicky Peterson to find a way to negatively adjust it
back to me.

- Q. Okay. And is it your belief, ma'am, that had you been white, the negative adjustment would have been put back on you.
- A. The determining factor that Michelle used to evaluate my performance alignment to any accounts all aligns with my race. When you look at all of my peers on that team, I'm the only African-American, so yes, that is correct.

MR. BABCOCK: Move to strike as nonresponsive.

- Q. Ma'am, my question was: Is it your belief that if you were white, that you would not have received the stretch goal related to the BJ Services?
 - A. Yes, if I was white.

(Exhibit 12 marked.)

Q. Hand you Exhibit 12. Email chain regarding Tom Seagraves' letter of counseling. It's

- Page 195 Ο. And you indicate to Dave Russell and Dan 1 Mullally that Mr. Wallace suggested to you that you assume positive intent, correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 5 Ο. You further indicate that Mr. Wallace told you to consider this a miscommunication to follow up 6 with him again if you needed to, correct? 7 Α. Yes. He did not take any complaint 8 serious. 9 (Exhibit 28 marked.) 10 I'm going to hand you what has been marked 11 Ο. Exhibit 28. It's an email from you to Ms. Lamb 12 dated October 9 of 2018. 13 In this email you're asking her to 14 schedule weekly one-on-ones with you, correct? 15
 - A. Yes. That was quidance from Dave Russell.
 - Q. And you also asked to schedule something on a Wednesday or Thursday for your district. What was that?
 - A. That was in response to the one-on-ones.
 - Q. Okay. What's a DID every third Thursday?
 - A. It's called a day in the district --
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. -- where the director is in your district for that particular day.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Page 199 convenience, right? 1 Α. Correct. 3 Ο. And who was Casey? Casey Millner, right? Α. Yes. 4 5 Ο. That was one of your peers? Α. Yes. 6 7 Is that a male or a female? Ο. That is a male. 8 Α. And what race is Mr. Millner? 9 Q. Α. He is white. 10 11 Ο. Hand you Exhibit 31. This is a copy of your letter of 12 counseling, correct? 13 (Exhibit 31 marked.) 14 That is correct. 1.5 Α. Did you receive this document in person? 16 Ο. 17 Α. Yes. Where were you when you received this 18 Q.
 - Α. At SmartPost.
 - Q. Okay. Do you agree or disagree at the time you received this letter you had 91.6 percent adjusted year-to-date attainment for FY19?
 - I disagree because that number is inaccurate because it included the negative

document?

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 adjustment of BJ Services.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And what about the next phrase, your district had the lowest year to date goal attainment for the Longhorn region; is that true?
- A. That is inaccurate as well. Because of the inflated revenue added to my district, because of the impact of BJ Services, that is inaccurate.
- Q. Okay. And what about zero out of eight
 AEs were at or above plan for your fourth quarter of
 fiscal year '19; was that true?
- A. That would be inaccurate also just because once the removal of BJ Services and the alignment of 4G Dental, I could have had, if not the same as my white peers, I would be equal to them.
- Q. Okay. But that would only affect one or two AEs, right?
 - A. Correct. But that would put --
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. -- me equal if not the same --
 - Q. So at --
 - A. -- or higher.
- Q. So at best, six out of eight of your

 AEs -- or two of eight of your AEs would be at or

 above plan, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And what about, did your district fail to meet plan four out of four quarters in FY19?

 A. That is false also because I am impacted by the negative adjustment of BJ Services.
 - Q. Okay. You were asked to create a PIP, right, a performance improvement plan?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And she asked for you to send that to her by close of business on July 3rd?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And then you would meet about it, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And that's the same process that
 you filed with Mr. Seagraves when you issued him a
 letter of counseling, correct?
 - A. The difference is --
- 0. No, ma'am. My question is --
- 18 A. Yes --
- 19 | O. -- did you --
 - A. -- that is correct.
 - Q. Thank you.
 - Hand you what has been marked as

 Exhibit 32. This is an email exchange between you and Ms. Lamb about your PIP, correct?

(Exhibit 32 marked.)

Α. Yes.

2

0. your PIP?

3 4

Α. Four.

5

6

you spoke to Dave Russell to get his input and

7

8

Α. Yes.

views, correct?

9

Okay. And you provided an updated action Ο. plan to Michelle Lamb, correct?

Do you recall how many categories were on

Okay. And while you're creating your PIP,

10 11

Α. Yes.

12

(Exhibit 33 marked.)

13

Hand you what has been marked as Ο.

14

Exhibit 33. Ms. Harris, this is just in color.

15

You'll notice it's the -- it's your July 9th letter to Ms. Lamb. It's basically the first couple pages

16 1.7

of Exhibit 32. Okay.

18

So if you go to the bottom of the first page, that's one of your categories, right, the

2.0

19

bullet point that's in black?

21

Α. Yes.

22 23

on the top of page 2, How will this impact district

Okay. And is the red comments that say,

24

performance and how will it be measured, am I

25

correct that the red on this document is Ms. Lamb's

- questions to you?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And then the yellow -- I think that's yellow.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- is your response to Ms. Lamb's question in red; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And these are all the categories that you had on your first PIP; is that right?
 - A. These are all of the strategies and approaches that I was going to take that I'm not 100 percent sure if it outlines all the details in the PIP.
 - Q. Okay. But do you recall there were, like, seven action items that you were going to work on addressing?
 - A. Strategies, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And that's what you and Ms. Lamb agreed to?
 - A. We didn't agree because what I submitted to her was different than what she requested.
- Q. Okay. The stuff that's on Exhibit -- okay.

Based on your management experience, what

was your expectation on what would happen regarding your first PIP?

Let's take it if you were successful, you successfully completed it, what would have happened?

- A. That I wouldn't move forward to a letter of warning and I wouldn't be targeted and retaliated against.
- Q. Okay. And if you were unsuccessful at completing the PIP, what -- what is your understanding as a manager would have happened to you as the employee?
- A. That the specifics in the letter of counseling and the letter of warning would be consistent and used to evaluate my white peers.
- Q. Is your understanding that if an employee doesn't successfully complete the PIP, a letter of warning is typically issued?
- A. If it's held to the same standard, it should be, but I was not.

(Exhibit 34 marked.)

Q. Okay. Hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 34.

Do you recall having a meeting with Ms. Lamb in September of 2019 to go over your performance on your PIP?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes.

on in your PIP?

- Q. Okay. And is Exhibit 34, does that identify the seven categories you were being tracked
- A. Yes and held to a different standard as my white peers.
- Q. Okay. And am I correct, ma'am, that the boldface is the PIP and the unbold statement underneath is what Ms. Lamb wrote to grade you on your performance on the PIP?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that fair?
- And Ms. Lamb informed you that she believed you only met one of the objectives and that your plan -- your district had now been under plan for five consecutive quarters, correct?
- A. That isn't correct because if I did not have the negative adjustment of BJ Services, I would not have had only one quarter, I would have had two or more.
- Q. Okay. So my question is: Ms. Lamb, though, during your meeting -- I appreciate your contention that the numbers aren't fair because of BJ Services and 4G Dental and some of the other things you talked about this morning. Okay?

My question is: During the meeting in September of 2019, September 14th, did Ms. Lamb go over this document with you and highlight that she believed you only met one of the objectives?

- A. That she believed, yes.
- Q. Okay. And did she tell you -- let's look at the first bullet -- that the revenue gaps increased from 1.19 million to 1.36 million?
- A. What she doesn't say is the impact of BJ Services, yes.
- Q. Okay. Is she -- how about for -- and all these things that are identified in the first bullet, the scorecard is a weekly report; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. What's ROA?
- A. We all had different ROAs. Mine was return on -- I don't recall what the A was --
- Q. Okay.
- A. -- so I can't give you an accurate answer on that.
- Q. So were you -- is it your testimony that you were the only manager in Michelle Lamb's group that had a biweekly ROA?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Hand you Exhibit 37. It's a copy of your 1 Ο. 9/13/2019 warning letter. 2 You received this warning letter, correct? 3 Α. Yes. And you initiated the EXPLORE process Ο. 5 after you received this warning letter, right? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 And you still had an attorney at that point, correct? 9 10 Α. Yes. When did you switch from your first 11 12 counsel to your current counsel? I moved in January of 2021 so around about Α. 13 that time frame. 14 Okay. So it was well after your 15 Ο. termination? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. And I'm correct you wanted your 18 Ο. attorney to participate in the EXPLORE process, 19 20 right? 21 Α. Yes. As a result of this warning letter, you 22 Ο.

were told to do another PIP, correct?

Α.

Q.

Yes.

23

2.4

25

And you were asked to submit that to

Veritext Legal Solutions

ct	:
	ect

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. We already discussed those before our last break, right?
 - A. Yes.

(Exhibit 38 marked.)

- Q. Handing you what's marked as Exhibit 38.

 This is a copy of the action plan that was ultimately --
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. -- given to you to meet?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And do you recall, sitting here today, what parts of the action plan you disagreed with?
 - A. All of it.
 - Q. Okay. And so what did you suggest as your action plan? Do you recall that?
 - A. I just asked that Michelle Lamb be consistent with her evaluation of our performance compared to my white peers. The reporting showed that not only did several of my white peers not have people who did not have market development reps who couldn't achieve \$250 of closed business tracking, in addition to they also had strategic development

account executives who didn't hit \$1,000 of closed business tracking, so why was I held to a different standard than my white peers. And the only determining factor in her evaluation was my race.

- Q. And so if you look at Exhibit 33, you didn't engage in a similar exercise with Ms. Lamb regarding your first PIP as you did -- regarding your second PIP as you did your first PIP, correct?
 - A. Repeat the question again.
 - Q. Do you have Exhibit 33 in front of you?
 - A. I do. I just got it.
- Q. And if you look at the second page, it shows the collaboration between you and Ms. Lamb, right?
 - A. Yes. It shows --
- Q. Okay.
 - A. -- details of the -- the PIP and then --
- 0. So --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

24

- 19 A. -- her questions and my response.
 - Q. And so my question to you is: You did not engage in the same back-and-forth with Ms. Lamb for your second PIP; is that right?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Okay. But you didn't -- is it fair to say you didn't come up with these five categories on

1 Exhibit 38?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- A. They were from Michelle Lamb.
- Q. Okay. And -- but she discussed these categories with you, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And so she told you that each of your -- for example, for the CBT, she wanted 100 percent of your MDs, needed to track their 250 average daily --
 - A. Net revenue.
- Q. -- revenue in order to close territory gaps in quarter 2, correct?
 - A. That is -- yes.
- Q. And then for the strategic directors, she wanted them to track \$1,000 average daily net revenue, correct?
 - A. The strategic development, yes.
- Q. Did you -- I just want to make sure I understand your testimony, ma'am.
 - Do you believe the numbers should have been different or do you think you shouldn't have had to deal with CBT at all?
- A. I think it should have been consistent.

 If she was going to hold me to this standard, that

 100 percent of my market development reps had to

close \$250 and 100 percent of my strategic development account executives had to hit \$1,000, my white peers should have been held to the same standard.

It is inconsistent based on the closed business tracking and gives evidence that my white peers did not have or meet this expectation but yet I was held to it in this Q2 action plan.

- Q. Okay. Was there ever a time in your relationship with Ms. Lamb that you didn't think she was being racist?
 - A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. You thought she was racist the entire time you worked for her?
- A. Yes, because she already had a perception that I wasn't qualified for leadership or the manager role for field sales because she had a conversation with Grant Kuhn who never thought I should be in leadership and spoke in front of several inside sales managers and told them if he ever had an opening, he wouldn't hire me.
- Q. Okay. And when did this conversation occur between Ms. Lamb and Grant Kuhn?
- A. I don't know when they had a conversation.

 He was the director prior to her taking over, so I'm

build her own relationship with me and not assume that she was going to take the feedback or perception from Grant Kuhn literally and actually act out discrimination and retaliation against me.

- Q. Okay. Going back to Exhibit 38, do you agree under International that at the time this action plan was made, the current attainment was 86.1 percent with six out of your eight AEs missing international plan?
 - A. I don't recall that exact number.
- Q. Okay. And for pricing, she -- she -- Ms. Lamb told you that success would be measured based on MD pricing submissions averaging out to one per week in guarter 2. Correct?
 - A. That is what it says, yes.
- Q. And for collaborating to close, Ms. Lamb informed you that each MD on the team needed to be working at least one collaborate to close opportunity in quarter 2, right?
 - A. That is what it says.
- Q. Okay. And that's what Ms. Lamb told you was her expectation, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So Ms. Lamb told you all these -- I don't know if you call them carets, little arrow,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

those were her expectations of you for quarter 2, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. December of 2019, do you recall having a meeting with Ms. Lamb where you discussed your performance on the action plan?
- A. I don't recall that specific date, but I had several conversations with her in regards to the performance.
- Q. Do you recall that at the beginning of that meeting, you had a discussion about Laura Segovia's letter of counseling update?
- A. I don't recall specifically when that was, but we had several conversations about Laura Segovia.
- Q. Do you recall having a conversation with Michelle Lamb where you walked through Laura's PIP and demonstrated that Laura had successfully completed all of her objectives?
- A. I don't recall that meeting specifically, but I did share the details of Laura's letter of counseling and her performance improvement plan with Michelle Lamb.
- Q. Do you recall that Ms. Lamb had a meeting with Laura Segovia in early December of 2019?

- A. I don't recall. Michelle had several meetings with Laura.
 - Q. Do you recall Ms. Lamb relaying to you that Laura wished she had more support from you as her manager?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Do you recall Ms. Lamb telling you that that's why Laura was going to Brian Conrey for strategy sessions?
 - A. No, I don't recall. I encouraged Laura to collaborate with Brian Conrey and myself so that she could try to get additional strategies from him on winning Empower.
 - Q. Do you recall Ms. Lamb telling you that Laura wanted to apply for an open position in Jen Amix (phonetic) --
 - A. Amix.
 - Q. -- Amix's organization?
- A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. When you had a conversation with Ms. Lamb about the action plan that's referenced in Exhibit 38, did you admit to her that you failed to meet three out of the five objectives?
 - A. No, because these were false accusations.
 - Q. Do you recall having a meeting with

Michelle Lamb where you went through each of these five items on Exhibit 38 and you had a discussion with Ms. Lamb on whether or not you met the requirements?

- A. I had a discussion with her about the requirements. I don't recall having a discussion with her about not hitting the requirements.
- Q. Do you -- so is it your testimony, ma'am, that Ms. Lamb never closed out the second PIP?
 - A. She did because I was terminated.
- Q. Okay. And to close out a PIP, she would need to talk to the employee who was on the PIP, correct?
- A. No, she could talk to HR because she doesn't have to consult with me to terminate me.
- Q. Okay. Is it your -- so your testimony today is you don't recall Ms. Lamb ever discussing the second PIP with you?
- A. I never said that. I said we discussed it. Your other question was did we close it out. We discussed the PIP and reviewed those details highlighted in the PIP.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall discussing the details highlighted in the PIP on whether or not your team successfully met those objectives?

1 A. I do not.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- Q. Do you recall Ms. Lamb telling you that as of 12/5/2019, one -- looking at Exhibit 38, one of your MDs failed to meet this requirement on the close to business tracking?
 - A. I don't recall; she could have.
- Q. Do you recall that she told you that as of 12/5/2019, two strategic directors failed to meet this requirement?
- A. As I shared, I don't recall this specifically but she could have.
- Q. Do you recall her telling you that your team didn't meet the international requirement referenced in the action plan?
- A. I don't recall her going through the completion or conclusion of this action plan.
- Q. Do you recall -- but you don't deny that she did, you just don't remember it?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. See if this refreshes your memory.

 Do you recall involving pricing -- so the third

 category on Exhibit 38.
- Do you recall Ms. Lamb informing you that the requirement was not met because four out of six MDs did not meet this requirement?

- A. I don't recall her specifically identifying those, no.
 - Q. And do you recall on the fourth one, collaborate to close, that Ms. Lamb told you the requirements had been met?
 - A. I do not recall if she gave me an update on how the results of this particular collaborate to close ended, no.
 - Q. And on Exhibit 38 under Performance where you have the different types of FedEx Service offerings --
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. -- listed and with a percentage, those were the goals that Ms. Lamb told you you needed to meet, correct?
 - A. No. That demonstrates what my actual goals were is what she stated, but it's actually inaccurate because it does not include the addition of 4G Dental and the removal of BJ Services.
 - Q. Okay. But this is what Ms. Lamb told you she expected you to meet, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Okay. And did she go over what your percentages were for those four different service offerings; do you recall?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	A.	I	do	not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

24

25

- Q. Does it refresh your memory at all, ma'am, that after Ms. Lamb went through your second PIP action plan December of 2019, that you asked her if you will have another meeting and Ms. Lamb told you that will be scheduled ad hoc and more than likely follow the holidays? Do you recall that?
- A. I don't, but I know I was terminated shortly after that.
 - O. After what?
- 11 A. In January so after the holiday.

12 (Exhibit 39 marked.)

- Q. Okay. Handing you what has been marked as Exhibit 30.
- MR. SANFORD: 30? We skipped over -oh.
- Q. What does it say?
 - A. It says 39.
- 19 Q. 39. Thank you.
- Exhibit 39 is the termination letter dated 1/7/2020.
- You received a copy of this letter, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And you went through the EXPLORE process regarding this letter, correct?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

- Q. Returning to 38, it's your belief that
 Ms. Lamb put you on your second PIP because of your
 race, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In other words, had you been a race other than black, Ms. Lamb would have not put you on the PIP. Is that your contention?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And again, at the time you were terminated you were represented by a law firm, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you wanted that law firm to participate in the EXPLORE process, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- MR. BABCOCK: Let's go off the record
- 18 for a minute.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at
- 20 3:27.
- 21 (Recess 3:27 p.m. to 3:37 p.m.)
- 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
- 23 record at 3:37 p.m.
- 24 (Exhibit 40 marked.)
- Q. All right. Exhibit 40 is an email

holding the account manager, which was my white peer Brian Conrey, accountable for making sure that I received the positive revenue for that and holding me to a different standard than my white peers.

After that, I believe that because of Michelle's actions and responses, that the determining factor in her evaluating my performance was my race.

- Q. Regarding the BJ Services issue, you identified it in 2017, right, the fall of 2017, correct?
 - A. October 2017, yes.
- Q. And there's email traffic between you and Ms. Lamb that we went over starting in January of 2018, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And at the time that email traffic started in January of 2018, had you formed your opinion that Ms. Lamb was a racist?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Because the 4G Dental customer issue occurred after that time period, correct?
- A. Correct. But BJ Services happened during that time frame.
 - Q. Okay. And was the BJ Services the

earliest incident or issue that you identified that caused you to believe Ms. Lamb was a racist or is a racist?

A. No.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Q. What was the earliest issue?
- A. It was the comment that she made in March that also identified as her being a racist.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So it's not just one; it's the

 BJ Services, it's the 4G Dental, it's the

 inconsistencies of evaluating my performance --
 - O. If we --
 - A. -- all of those things.
- Q. If we look at -- I'm trying to understand when the earliest date was.

The comment that was made to you to self-demote was March of 2019?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. That's after the emails you were exchanging with her about BJ Services, which were in January of 2018, right?
- A. Correct. So BJ Services started before then.
- Q. Correct.
- Is there any issue that leads you to

Page 247 1 Α. Yes. Did a hub tour ever take place? 2 Ο. Α. No. 3 Did the customer end up putting a Memphis 4 Ο. 5 facility in? Α. They were still thinking about it at that 6 7 particular time. 8 Okay. And so Ms. Lamb was willing to help 0. you try to get a customer a hub tour in September 9 1.0 of 2018 even though you believed she was a racist, 11 right? 12 Yes, that is correct. Α. 1.3 (Exhibit 46 marked.) 14 Hand you what has been marked Exhibit 46. Ο. 15 MR. BABCOCK: Hand that back to make 16 sure I don't have writing on your copy, Mr. Sanford. MR. SANFORD: 17 Oh --MR. BABCOCK: Just make --18 19 -- do I have it? MR. SANFORD: Just make sure I don't 20 MR. BABCOCK: 21 have writing on the second page. 22 MR. SANFORD: Oh, okay. 23 MR. BABCOCK: I don't think I do.

This is your email that you sent to

Perfect.

Q.

24

	Page 248
1	Michael Clark on March 20th of 2019, correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And it says at the beginning, It was great
4	speaking with you today.
5	So you had this is when you had your
6	phone conversation with Mr. Clark?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. To discuss the concerns you raised about
9	the meeting you had with Michelle Lamb where she
10	asked you to self-demote, right?
11	A. And my other concerns with BJ Services,
12	Global Gold Rush, FedEx One Rate, and other details
13	with Pathway and the inconsistences of how she
14	treated me against my white peers.
15	Q. Okay. And was your goal to provide
16	Mr. Clark with examples of the unfair treatment you
17	received from Lamb up until that point in time?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Had you visited with an attorney
20	yet about your experiences at the time you wrote
21	this email?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Did you have help writing this email?
24	A. They evaluated but the details came from

me.

1	Q. Okay. And please when we tread around
2	topics about your attorneys, try to answer my
3	question because I'm not entitled to know about
4	conversations you had with anyone that you may have
5	visited with. Okay?
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. And so if you answer my question, I'll do
8	a good job of hopefully not delving into that
9	material.
10	All right. And so am I correct your
11	attorneys reviewed the email before you submitted it
12	to Michael Clark?
13	A. Yes.
14	(Exhibit 47 marked.)
15	Q. Okay. Hand you what has been marked as
16	Exhibit 47. This is the June 28th ethics case which
17	references a June 26th date.
18	Are you aware, ma'am, on who inputted this
19	into the EthicsPoint software?
20	A. No. Prior to today, I have never seen
21	this.
22	Q. Okay. And do you recall ever filling out
23	on a website concerns you were having at FedEx?
24	A. Yes.

Okay. If you go to page 2 of this

Q.

document, which is FXC 8, it says, quote, Jennifer is concerned that she's being retaliated against due to filing an EEO just after receiving a letter on June 3, 2019, which is attached that states, quote, Each issue brought forth has been thoroughly investigated with the determination that corrective action will be taken, close quote.

Do you recall raising a concern that you thought you were being retaliated against in late
June of 2019?

A. Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And who did you raise that concern with?
 - A. I went to Michael Clark with an email, as well as Kristie Castilow.
 - Q. Okay. It says at the end, Jennifer states that it has been 23 days since this letter and things haven't improved; yet, it has gotten worse.

Did I read that right?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that part of the concern you were raising at that time?
 - A. Yes, at that time.
- Q. And the 23 days since the letter, that's the letter of counseling, correct?

1		
7		

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Yes.

- Q. Okay. And what happened during that 23 days that caused you to tell FedEx that things had gotten worse?
- A. The timeline is I make a complaint,
 23 days later I'm given a letter of counseling with
 the requirement of a performance improvement plan.
 That outlines details that could highlight
 retaliation. I complain; I'm given a letter of
 counseling. That process continued throughout my
 complaint process with HR.

(Exhibit 62 marked.)

Q. Okay. It's out of order, Ms. Harris, but the record will be fine. This is Exhibit 62.

MR. BABCOCK: 62, Brian.

- Q. This is the June 3, 2019, letter you got from Ms. Clark (sic), and that's the letter you're referencing in Exhibit 47, correct?
 - A. Yes.

MR. BABCOCK: Where did we go to?

THE WITNESS: 62.

MR. BABCOCK: What was after 62? 47?

Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit 62, that's not the only closure letter you received from Michael Clark, correct?

Veritext Legal Solutions

	Page 253
1	Here is Exhibit 54.
2	This is your first EXPLORE, right?
3	(Exhibit 54 marked.)
4	A. I'm looking at the details. One moment.
5	(Reviewed document.)
6	Yes.
7	Q. Okay. And you didn't receive any
8	follow-up with this because at the time, you had an
9	attorney that you wanted involved in the process,
10	right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And FedEx wouldn't permit that?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Okay. And then you complained again after
15	you received the warning letter, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. All right. How did you raise your
18	complaint in December of 2019, do you recall, ma'am?
19	A. I sent a message to Michael Clark.
20	(Exhibit 55 marked.)
21	Q. Okay. Hand you what has been marked as
22	Exhibit 55.
23	You testified earlier that you hadn't seen
24	any EthicsPoints until today, correct?

Α.

25

That is correct.

- Q. If you go to page 2 of this document, there's -- under "provide a brief description," someone entered in information, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And looking at the information, where it says, Please see the details below of my ongoing complaint of retaliation, humiliation, discrimination treatment by Michelle Lamb, and then it goes on, is that the information you were providing to Mr. Clark?
 - A. For the follow-up complaint, yes --
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. -- not for the initial.
- 14 O. Correct.
 - In the first paragraph, five lines from the bottom, it says, quote, While I didn't meet all my goals in the action plan, I did demonstrate improvement in my teams.
 - Did I read the first part of that sentence correctly?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. So you admitted to Mr. Clark that you had not met all the goals in your action plan, correct?
 - A. That is correct. He also knew that those

	Page 307
1	impact you?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And you were willing to be negatively
4	impacted?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Why?
7	A. Because I wanted to be considered for
8	President's Club with accurate numbers. I didn't
9	want them to be inflated and for them to give me an
10	award that I did not deserve.
11	Q. What's the difference between agreeing to
12	be negatively impacted for the purpose of
13	President's Club or Ambassador Club or Rewards Club
14	and not agree to be negatively impacted on your
15	attainment goals?
16	MR. BABCOCK: Object to form.
17	A. Because the President's Club, Ambassador's
18	Club, and Rewards Club was prior. The adjustment of
19	BJ Services was for the future.
20	Q. So I think I heard something that you
21	would be willing to take a credit or something or
22	A. Yes.

Q. -- discount on commissions on past?

A. Yes, because I wanted to be evaluated

accurately.

23

24

25

declines them.

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Right.

So it's one thing for FedEx to say we want to lower costs, and it's another thing to say to someone like Michelle Lamb, we want you to lower costs by this amount, you have discretion to choose how that's going to be done?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And she chose you in reducing costs and not your white peers?
 - A. Correct.

MR. BABCOCK: Object to the form.

- Q. And how do you know this?
- A. Because when originally she approved my travel and trip to Memphis for the Memphis Pathway program, that included for me to give the expenses to FedEx for my flight, my hotel, and my rental. She came back and said when it was time for me to book that specific travel that now the company was on cost constraints and that I was not allowed to be able to attend.

But what she didn't share is that there's a program in Dallas in which, as a team, as peers, my white peers specifically could drive to and be afforded the same opportunity to still attend the

- Q. When did you find out that they had attended the event or were going to attend the event, before or after?
 - A. Before.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And then -- so why didn't you go?
- A. They laughed in my face and said that they were going and that the excuse that Michelle used that I couldn't go to Memphis wasn't correct because they were going. And I was never extended the same invitation.
 - Q. Why didn't you just show up?
- A. Because I had used vacation time so that I could still honor my commitment to the director

 Jerry Page in Memphis to come to the Pathway program there in Memphis and still be able to demonstrate my leadership skills and help coach and develop the people who aspire to, you know, grow and develop in the company.
- Q. So was Michelle Lamb the first time you experienced discrimination at FedEx?
 - A. No.
 - Q. So you have been through this before --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- right?
- But it had a different outcome?

1	
1	

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. No.
- Q. Do you know whether or not FedEx has been trained on whether or not there's no token exception to discrimination?

MR. BABCOCK: Object to the form.

- A. I would hope so.
- Q. You're able to go to Pathway?
- A. At my own expense and using vacation.
- Q. So did Michelle Lamb give you any assistance, help you at all --
 - A. No.
 - Q. -- in that process?

I think you -- I think you said that
Michelle Lamb wanted you to do Coach2Grow 2.0
different ways than you were doing it. What do you
mean?

MR. BABCOCK: Object to the form.

- A. Yes. She rolled out the Coach2Grow 2.0 program, and there were specific ways that she wanted it done.
 - Q. Like what?
- A. There was a lengthy PowerPoint presentation that instead of going to the facts of what Coach2Grow 2.0 was, she wanted me to go through every slide with each account executive and then

allow them to take an assessment based on that which would identify their strengths or ways for them to be focused on me to coach them with the new Coach2Grow 2.0. But the approaches of my white peers, they didn't go through every slide. They highlighted slides just like I did but weren't given the aggressive treatment. And --

- Q. So -- so two things, so -- let me stop.

 So what you did that was different is you didn't go through every slide; you highlighted certain slides?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And she wanted you to go through every single slide?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you're saying your peers, other district managers, didn't go through all the slides with their account executives?
 - MR. BABCOCK: Object to the form.
 - A. No.
 - Q. How do you know?
- A. Because we talked about their process, and that is why I reached out to Brian Conrey and Rebecca Callahan to get best -- best practices from them but was denied that opportunity because

1 Michelle wanted it to be done her way.

2.2

- Q. So how do you know they didn't go through their slides?
- A. Because they told me the details they went through. They went through more slides than I did but not every slide as she requested.
- Q. So why wouldn't you go through every slide initially?
- A. Because I wanted to focus on the key components that would really transition what we were doing and coaching prior to Coach2Grow 2.0. That wasn't the first time we had Coach2Grow. This just was a transition of what Coach2Grow was. We had regular Coach2Grow and Coach2Grow 2.0.

So the account managers were already familiar with the original Coach2Grow 2.0. And in my attempt to just reiterate what the changes were, I highlighted what those were instead of going through every slide that was given in that presentation.

- Q. Because they already knew it?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So after she instructed you to go through every slide, did she want you to go back and do it again?

Veritext Legal Solutions

- A. Yes. And I did.
 - Q. And you did?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Every single slide?
- A. Yes

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. You complied with her request?
- A. That is correct.
 - Q. And she still -- I mean, we know you're terminated.

Okay. So let me ask you -- let's go
through this. Well, let me -- before I do that, I
want to -- I think you talked about the team needed
to be led by a strong coach, meeting strong metrics.

Do you remember talking about that?

- A. Yes, that was the reference of Michelle saying that I was not a strong coach.
- O. Yeah.

And so what about your peers, were they meeting their metrics?

- A. No.
- MR. BABCOCK: Object to the form.
- Q. Okay. And do you dispute that you were not a strong coach?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. On what basis do you dispute that you are

	_	
1	Α.	No.
٠.	τ.γ •	110.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Why not?
- A. Because on several occasions, her actions demonstrated that she was intentionally trying to target me because I was black.
 - O. How so?
- A. BJ Services goes against the sales compensation policy which aligns a customer with territories and ZIP codes. And she overrided that process to negatively impact me and make it appear on the reporting that I was a poor performer.
- Q. She had access to see all your performance records?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Performance records that showed that you were a good performer?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. You have seen their policies against discrimination and retaliation?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So they have a written policy saying it.

 Do you dispute that they follow that policy?
 - A. Yes, they did not follow the policy.
 - Q. Well, they say they don't tolerate

	-											
L	a.	18	3 C	rı	. m	ı	n	a ·	t	1	on	

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Do you dispute that statement in their policy?

- A. I experienced them tolerating discrimination and retaliation.
- Q. Well, they have got -- I mean, how can they? They have a policy that says we do not discriminate. We do not tolerate discrimination. So how can they discriminate if they -- I mean, it's right there. Their policy says we don't do it.
 - A. Policies have to be --

MR. BABCOCK: Object to form.

- A. -- enforced by the actual employees. So if they don't monitor or supervise if that behavior is being demonstrated to an employee and investigate the complaints made when they share the specifics and facts, then FedEx isn't following those policies.
 - Q. Does that go the same for retaliation?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you take advantage of their policies to try and contest what was happening to you?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. What did you do?
 - A. I escalated to Dave Russell, to Dan

- 1 having any FedEx One Rate and Global Gold Rush 2 opportunities compared to my white peers, and that was false. So there's several occasions that --3 4
 - When you say it's false, you dispute --Ο.
- 5 Α. Yes.

8

- -- her statement --Ο.
- 7 That is correct. Α.
 - Q. -- that you were at the bottom?
 - Α. Yes.
- So let's just go -- this is Plaintiff's 10 Ο. Exhibit 1. 11
- 12 MR. BABCOCK: Do you want to just...
- 13 MR. SANFORD: Yeah. Oh, I can make
- 14 it. Add it?
- 15 MR. BABCOCK: Yeah, why not.
- 16 MR. SANFORD: Why not. Thanks.
- 17 (Exhibit 64 marked.)
- 18 Ο. This is Exhibit 64. So it says you failed 19 the meet plan throughout FY19.
- 20 Do you dispute that?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 2.2 Ο. And you failed to meet plan FY20 quarter, unadjusted. 23
- 24 Do you dispute that?
- 25 Α. Yes.

Q. FY20 year to date, your district has the lowest pricing activity in the region.

Do you dispute that?

A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Q. Says you did not meet action plan objectives which you created to improve performance.

 Do you dispute that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Says you did not meet the CBT expectation.

 Do you dispute that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You did not meet the collaborate to close expectation.

Do you dispute that?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. You did not meet pricing expectation.
 Do you dispute that?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. You did not close gaps performance gaps.

 Do you dispute that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You did not meet the attainment expectation.

Do you dispute that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And percent of AEs above plan versus below plan, you're at 50 percent?
- A. Right. That contradicts her saying zero --
 - Q. Right.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

16

22

23

- A. -- of eight.
- Q. And so where does that -- let's see. The 50 percent, where does that put you in the group of the eight?
 - A. Number 4.
- Q. Is it number 4 out of 8 the top half or the lower half?
 - A. The top half.
- Q. So not only is she wrong about the zero, you're -- you're in the top half?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So how about improvement? Closing the gaps on improvement, right?
- Let's look at 145319. Did we improve? So
 we have some down arrows. What's down arrow
 signify?
 - A. It means that instead of improvement from the previous meeting, that it went down.
 - Q. And if it goes up, what's that?
- 25 A. That you did improve.

- Q. So let's look at the first one, Capital of Texas. It's got two down arrows and two up arrows.
 - Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what do you have?
- A. I have three up arrows and one down arrow.
- 7 Q. So you did better than Capital of Texas
- 8 group?

- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. In terms of closing the gap?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And Alamo has three up arrows and one down, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Same as you?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. And Bayou Bruisers, all down?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. You did better?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Summit, all down. You did better?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, who is Capital of Texas?
- A. It's either Jaime Golden-McElroy or Brian
- 25 Golden. They split Austin.

Do you know who Westside Warriors is?

Q.

1 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. But we know this is -- each one of these is the eight -- your eight peers?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You're the only nonblack -- you're the only black person --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- of the peers, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And so whoever it is, you're doing better than them?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And we know it's not Richard Holley because he's Alamo?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- Q. So they weren't put on a plan --
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. -- performance improvement plan or terminated, right?
- A. Right.
- Q. And then there's Central Texas, which is three down and one up.
- You did better than Central Texas?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Not put on performance improvement plan?

Α.

Yes.

	Page 3/3
1	STATE OF TEXAS)
2	COUNTY OF DALLAS)
3	I, Michelle L. Munroe, Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter in and for the State of Texas, certify that
5	the foregoing deposition of JENNIFER HARRIS was
6	reported stenographically by me at the time and place
7	indicated, said witness having been placed under oath
8	by me, and that the deposition is a true record of
9	the testimony given by the witness;
10	That the amount of time used by each party at
11	the deposition is as follows:
	Mr. Babcock - 6 hours, 16 minutes
12	Mr. Sanford - 11 minutes
13	I further certify that I am neither counsel for
14	nor related to any party in this cause and am not
15	financially interested in its outcome.
16	Given under my hand on this the 6th day
17	of June, 2022.
18	
19	
20	Michelle L Munice
21	11 were sent whose
	Michelle L. Munroe, CSR No. 6011
22	Commission expires 1-31-24
	Firm Registration #571
23	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
	300 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1600
24	Fort Worth, Texas 76102
	817.336.3042 telephone

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-567-8658